1	Q.	Provide details of the rate impacts referred to in Mr. Osmond's Prefiled Testimony,			
2		page	page 2, lines 28 to 31 and page 3, lines 1 to 16.		
3					
4	A.	(A)	Newfo	oundland Power:	
5			(1)	Fuel at \$28 per barrel:	
6				Re: 16% wholesale increase to Newfor	ındland Power
7					
8				2002 revenues at existing rates	
9				(net of HST reduction)	\$200,369,992
10					
11				2002 revenues if \$28 per barrel	
12				were used	<u>\$233,208,780</u>
13					
14				Difference	<u>\$ 32,838,788</u>
15					
16				Percentage Increase over	
17				Existing rates	<u>16</u> %
18					
19			(2)	Fuel at \$28 per barrel:	
20				Re: 9% increase at end consumer leve	<u>[</u>
21					
22				Wholesale increase to Newfoundland	
23				Power	16%
24					
25				Multiplied By	X
26					
27				Percentage of purchased power, of	
28				Newfoundland Power's overall costs	57%

<u>9</u>%

Page 2 of 5

1 2

3 4

5

6

(3) Re: 5.9% RSP projected wholesale adjustment in 2002

Percentage increase at the end

Consumer level

	Existing Rates	2002 Proposed Rates	% Increase
Base Revenues	\$200,369,992	\$213,830,400	6.7%
RSP	\$7,884,996	\$20,670,272	
Total	\$208,254,988	\$234,500,672	12.6%

7

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Re: 3.4% RSP projected adjustment to end consumers in 2002 (4)

The 5.9% increase attributable to the RSP was derived by

increase of 12.6%. This RSP increase is based on the July 1,

2001 RSP rate of 1.77 mills/kWh, projected to increase to 4.64

subtracting the base rate increase of 6.7% from the total

Wholesale RSP increase to Newfoundland

Power 5.9%

Χ Multiplied By

Percentage of purchased power, of

mills/kWh on July 1, 2002.

1			Newfoundland Power's overall costs	Page 3 of 5 57%
2				
3			Percentage 2002 RSP increase at the	
4			End consumer level	<u>3.4</u> %
5				
6	(B)	Indus	strial Customers	
7				
8		(1)	Fuel at \$28 per barrel:	
9			Re: 23% increase to Industrial Custom	<u>iers</u>
10				
11			2002 revenues at existing rates	\$45,266,225
12				
13			2002 revenues if \$28 per	
14			barrel were used	\$ <u>55,882,521</u>
15				
16			Increase	\$ <u>10,616,296</u>
17				
18			Percentage increase over	
19			Existing rates	23%
20				
21		(2)	Re: 7.4% RSP projected increase to Ir	ndustrial Customers in
22			<u>2002</u>	
23				

	Existing Rates	2002 Proposed	% Increase
		Rates	
Base Revenues	\$45,266,225	\$49,965,557	10.4%
RSP	\$4,101,916	\$8,174,533	
Total	\$49,368,141	\$58,140,090	17.8%

			2001 (	seneral Rate Application
1			The 7.4% increase attributable to the	Page 4 of 5 RSP was derived by
2			subtracting the base rate increase of	10.4% from the total
3			increase of 17.8%. This RSP increase	e is based on the January
4			1, 2001 RSP rate of 2.80 mills/kWh pr	ojected to increase to
5			5.58 mills/kWh on January 1, 2002.	
6				
7	(C)	Usin	g \$20 per barrel, as proposed in Hydro's	evidence for 2002, the
8		follov	ving impacts would take place:	
9				
10		(1)	Newfoundland Power:	
11				
12			Re: 6.7% wholesale increase to New	foundland Power
13				
14			2002 revenues at existing rates	\$200,369,992
15				
16			2002 revenues at proposed rates	\$ <u>213,830,400</u>
17				
18			Increase	\$ <u>13,460,408</u>
19				
20			Percentage wholesale increase	<u>6.7</u> %
21				
22		(2)	Re: 3.7% increase at end consumer I	<u>evel</u>
23				
24			2002 Base Revenues @ Proposed	
25			2002 Rates	\$213,830,400
26				
27			Less 2002 Base Revenues @	
28			Existing Rates	\$200,369,992
29			Difference	\$13,460,408

	2001 001101411	tato / tppnoation
4		Page 5 of 5
1	Divided by existing base revenues	
2	Plus RSP	\$208,254,988
3	Multiplied by	X
4	Effective percentage impact on	
5	Newfoundland Power's overall costs	<u>57</u> %
6	Percentage increase at the end	
7	Consumer level	<u>3.7</u> %
8		
9	(3) <u>Industrial Customers</u> :	
10		
11	Re: 10.4% increase to Industrial Customers	
12		
13	2002 Industrial revenues at	
14	existing rates	\$45,266,225
15		
16	2002 Industrial revenues at	
17	proposed rates	\$ <u>49,965,557</u>
18		
19	Increase	\$ <u>4,699,332</u>
20		
21	Percentage increase	<u>10.4</u> %
22		